

2.4 Definability of PL' and PL'' Languages

Definition: A well-formed formula (or wff) of PL' is defined recursively as follows:

- (1) Any statement letter is a well-formed formula.
- (2) If α is a well-formed formula, then so is $\neg\alpha$.
- (3) If α and β are well-formed formulas, then so is $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$.
- (4) Nothing that cannot be constructed by steps of (1)-(3) is a well-formed formula.

$$\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta) = (\alpha \& \beta).$$

α	β	$\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

$$(\neg\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = (\alpha \vee \beta).$$

α	β	$(\neg\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

$$\neg((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \neg(\beta \rightarrow \alpha)) = (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta).$$

α	β	$\neg((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \neg(\beta \rightarrow \alpha))$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

It is possible to define PL using signs ' \neg ' and ' \vee '.

- $(\alpha \& \beta)$ would be defined as $\neg(\neg\alpha \vee \neg\beta)$,
- $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ would be defined as $(\neg\alpha \vee \beta)$,
- $(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$ would be defined as $\neg(\neg(\neg\alpha \vee \beta) \vee \neg(\neg\beta \vee \alpha))$.

Similarly, we could instead use ' \neg ' and '&'.

- $(\alpha \vee \beta)$ would be defined as $\neg(\neg\alpha \& \neg\beta)$,
- $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ would be defined as $\neg(\alpha \& \neg\beta)$,
- $(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$ would be defined as $\neg(\alpha \& \neg\beta) \& \neg(\beta \& \neg\alpha)$.

Definition: A well-formed formula (or wff) of PL'' is defined recursively as follows:

- (1) Any statement letter is a well-formed formula.
- (2) If α and β are well-formed formulas, then so is $(\alpha | \beta)$.
- (3) Nothing that cannot be constructed by steps of (1)-(2) is a well-formed formula.

Sheffer stroke (NAND)

α	β	$(\alpha \beta)$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

$$((\alpha | \beta) | (\alpha | \beta)) = (\alpha \& \beta)$$

α	β	$((\alpha \beta) (\alpha \beta))$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

$$((\alpha | \alpha) | (\beta | \beta)) = (\alpha \vee \beta)$$

α	β	$((\alpha \alpha) (\beta \beta))$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

$$(\alpha | \alpha) = \neg\alpha$$

α	$(\alpha \alpha)$
T	F
F	T

$(\alpha | (\beta | \beta))$ is equivalent to $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$:

α	β	$(\alpha (\beta \beta))$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

$((\alpha | \alpha) | (\beta | \beta)) | (\alpha | \beta)$ to $(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$:

α	β	$((\alpha \alpha) (\beta \beta)) (\alpha \beta)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Another way, PL'' is defined using ' \downarrow ', **Sheffer dagger (NOR)**.

- $\neg\alpha$ is defined as $(\alpha \downarrow \alpha)$;
- $(\alpha \vee \beta)$ is defined as $((\alpha \downarrow \beta) \downarrow (\alpha \downarrow \beta))$;
- $(\alpha \& \beta)$ is defined as $((\alpha \downarrow \alpha) \downarrow (\beta \downarrow \beta))$;
- and so on for the other operators

α	β	$(\alpha \downarrow \beta)$
T	T	F
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

The advantage of a rich language like PL is that it conforms better with our ordinary reasoning. The advantage of a sparse language such as PL' or PL'' is that it simplifies the logical language.