

Syntax and semantic of FOL



Syntax and Semantic of FOL

- Model of propositional logic
 - Sets of truth values for the propositional symbols
- Models of first-order logic
 - Unlike in propositional logic, models in FOL are more than just truth assignments to the objects... **they are relationships among the objects**
- **Domain of model**
 - **The set of objects (domain element) it contains**

First-order logic syntax

Sentence → *AtomicSentence*
| (*Sentence* *Connective* *Sentence*)
| *Quantifier* *Variable*, ... *Sentence*
| ¬ *Sentence*

AtomicSentence → *Predicate*(*Term*, ...) | *Term* = *Term*

Term → *Function*(*Term*, ...)
| *Constant*
| *Variable*

Connective → ⇒ | ∧ | ∨ | ⇔

Quantifier → ∀ | ∃

Constant → *A* | *X*₁ | *John* | ...

Variable → *a* | *x* | *s* | ...

Predicate → *Before* | *HasColor* | *Raining* | ...

Function → *Mother* | *LeftLeg* | ...

First-order logic syntax

- Symbol:
- **Constant Symbols**
 - A, B, Bob, Alice, Hat
- **Predicate Symbols**
 - is, onHead, hasColor, person
- **Function Symbols**
 - Mother, leftLeg
- Each predicate and function symbol has an **arity**
 - A constant the fixes the number of arguments

Syntax of FOL: Basic elements

- Constants KingJohn, 2, NUS,...
- Predicates Brother, >,...
- Functions Sqrt, LeftLegOf,...
- Variables x, y, a, b,...
- Connectives $\neg, \Rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, \Leftrightarrow$
- Equality =
- Quantifiers \forall, \exists

First-order logic semantics

- Semantics relates sentences to models in order to determine truth
 - **Interpretation** accomplishes semantics:
it maps symbols to models
 - constant symbols \rightarrow objects
 - predicate symbols \rightarrow relations
 - function symbols \rightarrow functions

Term

- A logical expression that refers to an object
 - Constants
 - We could assign names to all objects, like providing a name for every shoe in your closet
 - It is **not** always convenient to have a distinct symbol to name every objects. (Use function)
 - Function symbols
 - Replaces the need to name all the shoes
 - OnLeftFoot(John)
 - Refers to a shoe, some shoe
 - A complex term is just a complicated kind of name.
 - Semantic of sentence: $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$

Atomic Sentences

- Formed by a **predicate symbol** followed by parenthesized **list of terms**
 - Sibling (Alice, Bob)
 - Married (Father(Alice), Mother(Bob))
- **An atomic sentence is true in a given model, under a given interpretation, if the relation referred to by the predicate symbol holds among the objects referred to by the arguments**

Complex sentences

- We can use logical connectives to construct more complex sentences.
 - \sim Sibling(LeftLeg(Alice), Bob)
 - Sibling(Alice, Bob) \wedge Sibling (Bob, Alice)

$$\neg S, S_1 \wedge S_2, S_1 \vee S_2, S_1 \Rightarrow S_2, S_1 \Leftrightarrow S_2,$$

E.g. *Sibling(KingJohn, Richard) \Rightarrow Sibling(Richard, KingJohn)*

Universal quantification

- $\forall \langle \text{variables} \rangle \langle \text{sentence} \rangle$

-

Everyone at NUS is smart:

$\forall x \text{ At}(x, \text{NUS}) \Rightarrow \text{Smart}(x)$

$\forall x P$ is true in a model m iff P is true with x being each possible object in the model

Roughly speaking, equivalent to the conjunction of instantiations of P

$\text{At}(\text{KingJohn}, \text{NUS}) \Rightarrow \text{Smart}(\text{KingJohn})$
 $\wedge \text{At}(\text{Richard}, \text{NUS}) \Rightarrow \text{Smart}(\text{Richard})$
 $\wedge \text{At}(\text{NUS}, \text{NUS}) \Rightarrow \text{Smart}(\text{NUS})$
 $\wedge \dots$

A common mistake to avoid

- Typically, \Rightarrow is the main connective with \forall
-
- Common mistake: using \wedge as the main connective with \forall :
 $\forall x \text{ At}(x, \text{NUS}) \wedge \text{Smart}(x)$
means “Everyone is at NUS and everyone is smart”

Existential quantification

- $\exists \langle \text{variables} \rangle \langle \text{sentence} \rangle$
- Someone at NUS is smart:
- $\exists x \text{At}(x, \text{NUS}) \wedge \text{Smart}(x)$
-
- $\exists x P$ is true in a model m iff P is true with x being some possible object in the model
-
- Roughly speaking, equivalent to the disjunction of instantiations of P
- - At(KingJohn, NUS) \wedge Smart(KingJohn)
 - ✓ At(Richard, NUS) \wedge Smart(Richard)
 - ✓ At(NUS, NUS) \wedge Smart(NUS)
 - ✓ ...

Another common mistake to avoid

- Typically, \wedge is the main connective with \exists
- Common mistake: using \Rightarrow as the main connective with \exists :

$$\exists x \text{At}(x, \text{NUS}) \Rightarrow \text{Smart}(x)$$

is true if there is anyone who is not at NUS!

Properties of quantifiers

- $\forall x \forall y$ is the same as $\forall y \forall x$
- $\exists x \exists y$ is the same as $\exists y \exists x$
- $\exists x \forall y$ is **not** the same as $\forall y \exists x$
- $\exists x \forall y \text{ Loves}(x,y)$
 - “There is a person who loves everyone in the world”
 -
- $\forall y \exists x \text{ Loves}(x,y)$
 - “Everyone in the world is loved by at least one person”
 -
- **Quantifier duality:** each can be expressed using the other
-
- $\forall x \text{ Likes}(x, \text{IceCream}) \quad \neg \exists x \neg \text{Likes}(x, \text{IceCream})$
-
- $\exists x \text{ Likes}(x, \text{Broccoli}) \quad \neg \forall x \neg \text{Likes}(x, \text{Broccoli})$
-

Equality

- $term_1 = term_2$ is true under a given interpretation if and only if $term_1$ and $term_2$ refer to the same object

-

- E.g., definition of *Sibling* in terms of *Parent*.

-

$$\forall x,y \text{ Sibling}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow [\neg(x = y) \wedge \exists m,f \neg (m = f) \wedge \text{Parent}(m,x) \wedge \text{Parent}(f,x) \wedge \text{Parent}(m,y) \wedge \text{Parent}(f,y)]$$

Using FOL



Using FOL

- **Assertion:** Sentences are added to KB base using TELL.
 - TELL (KB, King(John)).
 - TELL(KB, $\forall x \text{ King}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Person}(x)$).
- **Queries or Goals:** Questions asked using ASK.
 - ASK(KB, Person(John)), ASK(KB, $\exists x \text{ Person}(x)$)
- **Answer:** The standard form for an answer is a substitution or binding list, which is a set of **variable/term** pairs. {x/John}

The kinship domain:

- Brothers are siblings
- $\forall x,y \text{ Brother}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \text{Sibling}(x,y)$
-
- One's mother is one's female parent
- $\forall m,c \text{ Mother}(c) = m \Leftrightarrow (\text{Female}(m) \wedge \text{Parent}(m,c))$
-
- “Sibling” is symmetric
- $\forall x,y \text{ Sibling}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \text{Sibling}(y,x)$
-

Example sentences

- Brothers are siblings
 - $\forall x, y \text{ Brother}(x, y) \Rightarrow \text{Sibling}(x, y)$
- Sibling is transitive
 - $\forall x, y, z \text{ Sibling}(x, y) \wedge \text{Sibling}(y, z) \Rightarrow \text{Sibling}(x, z)$
- One's mother is one's sibling's mother
 - $\forall m, c \text{ Mother}(m, c) \wedge \text{Sibling}(c, d) \Rightarrow \text{Mother}(m, d)$
- A first cousin is a child of a parent's sibling
 - $\forall c, d \text{ FirstCousin}(c, d) \Leftrightarrow \exists p, ps \text{ Parent}(p, d) \wedge \text{Sibling}(p, ps) \wedge \text{Parent}(ps, c)$

Using FOL

The set domain:

Symbol: $\{\}, x, \text{Set}, \subseteq, \cap, \cup, \in, \dots$

$$\forall s \text{Set}(s) \Leftrightarrow (s = \{\}) \vee (\exists x, s_2 \text{Set}(s_2) \wedge s = \{x|s_2\})$$

$$\neg \exists x, s \{x|s\} = \{\}$$

$$\forall x, s x \in s \Leftrightarrow s = \{x|s\}$$

$$\forall x, s x \in s \Leftrightarrow [\exists y, s_2 \{ (s = \{y|s_2\} \wedge (x = y \vee x \in s_2)))]$$

$$\forall s_1, s_2 s_1 \subseteq s_2 \Leftrightarrow (\forall x x \in s_1 \Rightarrow x \in s_2)$$

$$\forall s_1, s_2 (s_1 = s_2) \Leftrightarrow (s_1 \subseteq s_2 \wedge s_2 \subseteq s_1)$$

$$\forall x, s_1, s_2 x \in (s_1 \cap s_2) \Leftrightarrow (x \in s_1 \wedge x \in s_2)$$

$$\forall x, s_1, s_2 x \in (s_1 \cup s_2) \Leftrightarrow (x \in s_1 \vee x \in s_2)$$